Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/649,679	TANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Janis L. Dote	1756
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Janis L. Dote.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Peter Saxon (Reg. No. 24,947).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 5, 24, 25, and 30		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Janie L. Dote		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Mr. Saxon that the amendments filed on May 9, 2005, to claims 5, 25, and 30 did not comply with 37 CFR 1.121 for the reasons discussed in the attached office action, paragraph 3 The examiner also informed Mr. Saxon of the typographic error in claim 24. The examiner informed Mr. Saxon that the typographic error in claim 24 and the non-compliance in claims 5, 25, and 30 have been corrected by examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance..